1	BRIAN DANITZ (SBN 247403)					
2	bdanitz@cpmlegal.com NOORJAHAN RAHMAN (330572)					
3	nrahman@cpmlegal.com ANDREW F. KIRTLEY (SBN 328023)					
4	akirtley@cpmlegal.com					
5	JULIA Q. PENG (SBN 318396) jpeng@cpmlegal.com					
6	COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP					
7	840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200 Burlingame, CA 94010					
	Telephone: (650) 697-6000 Fax: (650) 697-0577					
8	, ,					
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class					
10						
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
12						
13	JENNIFER YICK , on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	Case No.				
14	•	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT:				
15	Plaintiff,	1. Violations of California Consumer				
16	v.	Privacy Act				
17	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., and DOES 1-20, inclusive,	2. Violations of California Unfair Competition Law				
18		3. Violations of Electronic Funds				
19	Defendants.	Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. § 1693 et seq.)				
20		4. Negligence				
21		5. Negligent Performance of Contract				
22		6. Negligent Failure to Warn				
23		7. Breach of Contract				
		8. Breach of Implied Contract				
24 25		9. Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing				
26		10. Breach of Contract (Third-Party Beneficiaries)				
27		DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
28						

Class Action Complaint Yick v. Bank of America, N.A.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.		NTRODUCTION	
II.	JURISDICTION AND VENUE		
III.		ARTIES	
	A.		
	В.	Defendant	
	C.	Doe Defendants	
	D.	Aiding And Abetting, Concert Of Action	
IV.		ACTUAL ALLEGATIONS	
	A.	Bank of America's Contract with EDD	5
	B.	Bank of America's Failure to Secure EDD Account Information	6
	C.	Bank of America's Use of Outdated, Vulnerable Magnetic Stripe Technology	7
	D.	Bank of America's Promise of "Zero Liability" and "24/7" Customer Service	9
	E.	Economic Devastation and Unemployment During the Covid-19 Pandemic	11
	F.	Rampant Fraud on EDD Cards and Accounts	12
	G.	Bank of America's Evasive and Ineffective Response	13
	H.	Plaintiff's Maddening Experience of Trying to Reach Bank of America	15
V.	C	LASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS	18
VI.	C.	AUSES OF ACTION	21
	FI	RST CAUSE OF ACTION	
	7	Violation of the California Consumer Privacy Act	21
	SE	COND CAUSE OF ACTION	
	V	violations of California's Unfair Competition Law	23
	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION		
	7	Violations of the Electronic Funds Transfer Act	25
	FC	OURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
	N	Negligence	28
	FI	FTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
	N	Negligent Performance of Contract	30
		- i -	

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
Negligent Failure to Warn	31
SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
Breach of Contract	32
EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
Breach of Implied Contract	33
NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing	36
TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
Breach of Contract (Third-Party Beneficiaries)	37
PRAYER FOR RELIEF	38
JURY DEMAND	39

Class Action Complaint
Yick v. Bank of America, N.A.

situated, alleges the following facts and claims against Defendant Bank of America, N.A. ("Bank of America" or "Defendant"), relating to the company's administration of California unemployment insurance and other benefits. The allegations pertaining to Ms. Yick are based on personal knowledge, and the allegations pertaining to all other matters are based on information and belief, including investigations by her counsel and information learned from news reports. Plaintiff's investigation into the matters alleged herein is ongoing, and many relevant facts are known only to Defendant and/or are exclusively within Defendant's custody and control. Plaintiff believes that substantial additional evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

Plaintiff Jennifer Yick ("Ms. Yick" or "Plaintiff"), on behalf of herself and all others similarly

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1. Faced with the economic devastation of the Covid-19 pandemic, millions of Californians now rely on unemployment insurance and other benefits issued by the California Employment Development Department ("EDD"). For unemployed Californians struggling to survive, these EDD benefits provide a lifeline that allow them to pay for basic necessities until they find that next job. Despite the critical importance of EDD benefits, the financial institution with the exclusive contract to administer them—**Bank of America**—is either unwilling or unable to stop criminals from breaching Bank of America's systems and controls, and from siphoning off millions of dollars of EDD benefits one account at a time. Day after day, there are new stories of yet another EDD benefits recipient with a Bank of America EDD prepaid debit card (an "EDD cardholder")

who went to use their card only to discover that all the money in their Bank of America EDD account ("EDD account")—oftentimes, the only money that they had to survive—was suddenly gone.

2. Many defrauded EDD cardholders have called Bank of America customer service, desperate to figure out what is going on and how



they can get the money credited back to their account ASAP. But "ASAP" is not how Bank of 2 America customer service operates—at least not for EDD cardholders. In the words of one EDD 3 cardholder and a victim of the fraud: "It's kind of like a nightmare. . . . Every day I'm wondering what's more 4 important. Do I get on the phone with the bank and try again so I have a place to 5 sleep tomorrow, or do I just accept that I'm going to be on the street and focus on my job search? Because you can't do both." 6 - EDD cardholder and fraud victim¹ 7 8 3. Even Bank of America's own customer service representatives have voiced 9 frustration at being unable to tell defrauded EDD cardholders that help is on the way. As one 10 customer service agent recently stated: We're actually no longer allowed to tell them [defrauded EDD cardholders] a 11 timeframe, because we have no clue Every day, I talk to 30 people with the 12 same story. I just pray for them after my shift, honestly. 13 - Bank of America customer service representative² 14 15 And so defrauded EDD cardholders wait and they wait on Bank of America. And when they run out 16 of money, they just have to wait some more. 17 According to its own EDD cardholder agreement, Bank of America has a "Zero 18 Liability" policy for cardholders in precisely this kind of situation. But contrary to that binding 19 policy, Bank of America has failed to assist or even communicate with many of the countless 20 defrauded EDD cardholders. Bank of America's ineffectual response to the rampant fraud has taken 21 various forms, including not answering the customer service phone lines it advises EDD debit cardholders to call; opening claims and then closing them so soon thereafter such that a full review 23 could not have been done; crediting funds and then later debiting them without notice to the EDD 24 cardholder; failing to extend provisional credit to EDD cardholders as required by law; and freezing 25

26

27

¹ Lauren Hepler & Stephen Council, "How Bank of America Helped Fuel California's Unemployment Meltdown," *CalMatters* (Nov. 20, 2020), *available at* https://calmatters.org/economy/2020/11/how-bank-of-america-helped-fuel-californias-unemployment-meltdown/.

 $^{|^2}$ Id.

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

EDD accounts unaffected by fraud. Pursuant to its exclusive agreement with the State of California, Bank of America is solely responsible for the administration of EDD benefits, and the EDD has no ability to intervene in the company's procedures.

5. As many people familiar with the situation say, the widespread fraud could easily have been prevented if Bank of America had acted according to industry security standards by securing the private financial information of EDD cardholders and accounts, and by issuing EDD cards with "EMV chips," rather than issuing cards with only outdated, fraud-prone "magnetic stripe" technology. Since 2014 Bank of America has used the small, metallic EMV chips in its consumer debit cards, touting the technology as "an important tool in increasing card security."

Rather than using chips in its EDD cards, however, Bank of America opted to issue hundreds of thousands of EDD cards with magnetic stripe technology, which when used at payment terminals openly transmit sensitive card and account information and leave cardholders vulnerable to fraud.



By failing to safeguard state benefits from fraud, failing to detect the fraud as it was happening, and failing so spectacularly at resolving the issues caused by these failures, Bank of America has violated the California Consumer Privacy Act, California's Unfair Competition Law, and Regulation E of the federal Electronic Funds Transfer Act; has breached its contract with EDD cardholders; has negligently failed to warn EDD cardholders about the risks associated with its EDD cards and accounts; and has negligently performed its contract with the California EDD, among other violations of law. Bank of America's unlawful conduct has resulted in significant harm to recipients of EDD benefits, depriving them of their financial lifeline in the midst of a pandemic and full-blown economic crisis.

³ "EMV" stands for Europay, Mastercard, and Visa, after the companies that created the technology.

II. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to each of the following: (a) 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) because this is a class action in which the amount in controversy for the Class exceeds \$5,000,000 exclusive of interest and costs, there are more than 100 putative Class members as defined below, and minimal diversity exists because the majority of putative Class members are citizens of a state different than that of Bank of America; (b) 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because Plaintiff and Class members are citizens of California, Bank of America is incorporated under the laws of Delaware and has its principal place of business in North Carolina, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interests and costs; and (c) 28 U.S.C. § 1331 with respect to the cause of action arising under federal Electronic Funds Transfer Act (15 U.S.C. § 1693, et seq.), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 with respect to the causes of action arising under state law.
- 8. This Court has specific personal jurisdiction over Bank of America because Bank of America has sufficient minimum contacts with California, has purposely availed itself of the benefits and protection of California law, and does a substantial amount of business in and with the State of California (including contracting with the State of California to provide services to California citizens), such that the Court's exercise of personal jurisdiction accords with due process.
- 9. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial portion of the acts or omissions giving rise to the claims in this Complaint occurred in this District, and because Defendant is subject to the Court's personal jurisdiction with respect to this action.

III. PARTIES

A. Plaintiff

10. Plaintiff Jennifer Yick is a person residing in San Francisco, California. She is a real estate professional who found herself out of work during the Covid-19 pandemic. She applied for and received EDD unemployment benefits; soon thereafter, she received a Bank of America EDD Visa debit card with a magnetic stripe (no EMV chip) to access her benefits; she was then the victim of unauthorized transactions on her card that emptied her account of her last \$400; and despite its

"Zero Liability" policy and Plaintiff's repeated requests for help, Bank of America has been either unwilling or unable to restore the missing funds to her account.

B. Defendant

11. Defendant Bank of America, N.A., is one of the largest banking associations in the United States. It is incorporated in the state of Delaware and, as set forth below, does significant business in California through, among other things, its exclusive contract with the State of California to administer EDD benefits.

C. Doe Defendants

12. The true names and capacities of Defendants DOES 1–20, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff. Accordingly, Plaintiff sues each and every DOE Defendant by such fictitious names. Each DOE Defendant, individually and collectively, is responsible in some manner for the unlawful acts alleged herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to reflect the true names and capacities of the DOE Defendants when their identities become known.

D. Aiding and Abetting, Concert of Action

13. Plaintiff alleges that at all times relevant to the events giving rise to this action, each and every Defendant was acting as an agent or employee of each of the other Defendants. Plaintiff further alleges that at all times relevant to those events, each and every Defendant was acting within the course and scope of that agency or employment at the direction of or with the full knowledge, permission, or consent of each and every other Defendant. In addition, each of the acts or omissions of each and every Defendant was made known to, and ratified by, each of the other Defendants.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Bank of America's Contract with EDD

14. EDD issues a variety of benefits to California residents, including but not limited to unemployment insurance benefits, disability insurance benefits, paid family leave benefits, pandemic unemployment assistance benefits, and pandemic emergency unemployment compensation benefits (collectively, "EDD benefits").

- 15. In 2010, Defendant entered into an exclusive contract with California EDD to issue debit cards through which individuals entitled to EDD benefits could access their funds, replacing distribution of EDD benefits through paper checks. EDD chose to contract with Bank of America, in part, because it promised the EDD "best-in-class" fraud monitoring.⁴
- 16. In July 2011, EDD began distributing benefits through the Bank of America cards as the default option for EDD benefits recipients.
- 17. In its successful 2015 proposal to EDD seeking to extend its exclusive contract, Bank of America represented that, as part of its contract with EDD, it "fully intend[s] to apply the most rigorous fraud detection procedures," including "employ[ing] the highest level of security and fraud safeguards" based on "multiple layers of extensive security" and a "multi-faceted approach to combat fraud." The proposal specifically promises that Bank of America would provide "fraud monitoring" for all EDD cards and accounts, and to employ technology that Bank of America stated would provide "immediate response to emerging fraud trends" and allow fraudulent transactions to be "declined in real time."
- 18. Under the contract, Bank of America retains exclusive control over fund processing, account management, and fraud detection for EDD cards and accounts. Even if EDD were to determine that an instance of fraud occurred, it has no authority to direct Bank of America to refund the victim.

B. Bank of America's Failure to Secure EDD Account Information

- 19. Upon information and belief, Bank of America has failed to store or transfer EDD cardholder and account information in a secure manner, resulting in a massive security breach that has resulted in millions of dollars stolen from EDD through unauthorized transactions.
- 20. For example, some EDD cardholders who have had money stolen from their EDD account through unauthorized transactions report **never having used their EDD card**. Upon

⁴ Lauren Hepler & Stephen Council, "How Bank of America Helped Fuel California's Unemployment Meltdown," *CalMatters* (Nov. 20, 2020), *available at* https://calmatters.org/economy/2020/11/how-bank-of-america-helped-fuel-californias-unemployment-meltdown/.

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

information and belief, such unauthorized transactions could only have occurred if Bank of America failed to store or transfer EDD cardholder and account information in a secure manner.

21. The large proportion of EDD cardholders whose accounts have been subject to fraudulent transactions also strongly indicates a wide-scale security breach of EDD cardholder and account information in Bank of America's possession, custody, and control, and which Bank of America had a duty to secure.

C. Bank of America's Use of Outdated, Vulnerable Magnetic Stripe Technology

- 22. To access their EDD benefits with a Bank of America card, a cardholder must send the information on their card through a processing network operated by Visa. The first step in that process occurs at the point of sale, where the cardholder either swipes or inserts their card into a card reader. The reader obtains data from the card and transmits that data to the financial services provider through a computer network, either at the time of the transaction or at a later time in a "batch" of other transactions. The cardholder's personal data is stored on the card.
- 23. From the 1960s through the last decade, magnetic stripes were the standard for storing consumer information on debit and credit cards in the United States. A magnetic stripe contains static data about the cardholder including their name, account number, and the card's expiration date. This sensitive data is printed directly on the outside of the card and recorded on the magnetic stripe. When swiped through a reader, this data is collected and transmitted as part of the transaction process.
- 24. But because the data on a magnetic stripe are static and easily readable, magnetic stripe cards are highly susceptible to fraud. One common method of stealing information from magnetic stripe cards is called "skimming," a process by which a which a wireless transmitter affixed to a card reader collects the information on the magnetic stripe and sends it to a nearby computer. The recipient can then use the information to easily clone the consumer's card, access the consumer's bank account, and perform online transactions.
- 25. Personal data on magnetic stripe cards can also be captured by hackers on a large scale. For example, in 2013, hackers infiltrated the retailer Target's payment terminals and

systematically captured the information of every swiped card for weeks, ultimately gathering card information for tens of millions of people.⁵ Card data collected in this manner can be sold on an underground market, where the stolen data can be used to make fraudulent purchases.

- 26. Over the past decade, in an effort to stem the consumer fraud enabled by magnetic stripes, the financial services industry in the United States has adopted EMV chip technology as the industry standard. While magnetic stripes are "static," with the same card-identifying information provided for every transaction, EMV chips are "dynamic," meaning the data they contain can be interacted with, altered, and updated. An EMV chip creates a unique electronic signature for each transaction, making data from past EMV chip card purchases useless to would-be thieves, and thereby significantly reducing the risk of fraudulent, unauthorized transactions.
- 27. In 2011, the same year it began issuing EDD debit cards, Bank of America announced it would offer EMV chip corporate credit cards to a subset of U.S. business customers who regularly traveled outside the United States.
- 28. On September 30, 2014, Bank of America announced that it would include chip technology on "all new and reissued" consumer debit cards. When announcing this shift, a Bank of America executive stated that "chip technology is an important tool in increasing card security, and we want our customers to have the best possible experience when using their payment cards." The executive added that the "new chip-enabled cards will improve security of customers' transactions."
- 29. In 2015, card issuers and processors began a nationwide shift to EMV chip cards. By 2017, an estimated 855 million EMV chip cards had been issued to U.S. consumers, and today such cards are standard in the industry.

⁵ Elise Hu, *Target Hack a Tipping Point in Moving Away from Magnetic Stripes*, NPR (Jan. 23, 2014), *available at* https://www.npr.org/sections/alltechconsidered/2014/01/23/264910138/target-hack-a-tipping-point-in-moving-away-from-magnetic-stripes.

⁶ Bank of America Press Release, "Bank of America Begins Rollout of Chip Debit Cards" (Sept. 30, 2014), *available at* https://www.businesswire.com/news/home/20140930005292/en/Bank-of-America-Begins-Rollout-of-Chip-Debit-Cards (attached here as **Exhibit A**).

30. Also in 2015, card-issuing banks and payment networks collectively stopped absorbing liability for fraudulent transactions. On October 1, 2015, merchants who did not have certified EMV chip readers became liable for the fraudulent transactions if the consumer presented an EMV chip card. In essence, this meant liability for consumer card fraud would fall on either the retailer or card issuer, whichever was the least compliant with EMV protocol.

- 31. As stated on Bank of America's own website, EMV chip technology "has been around for over 20 years and is the credit and debit card **security standard** in many countries around the world. When purchases are made using the chip feature at chip-enabled terminals, the **transaction is more secure** because of the process used to determine if the card is authentic. This makes the card **more difficult to counterfeit or copy**." Bank of America also assures its account holders on its website that "whether you use the magnetic stripe or the chip to make your purchase, **you can have confidence in the protection and security features we provide for all credit and debit accounts**."
- 32. Despite the fact that Bank of America was well aware that EMV chip cards are significantly more secure than magnetic stripe cards, the company chose to issue EDD debit cards using old, vulnerable stripe technology to hundreds of thousands of the most financially vulnerable Californians. Bank of America did so notwithstanding its announcement that it would include chip technology on all consumer debit cards. Predictably, the unsecure cards led to rampant fraud, resulting in the ongoing loss of millions of dollars in benefits that EDD has issued to assist Californians who lost their jobs, including during the Covid-19 pandemic.

D. Bank of America's Promise of "Zero Liability" and "24/7" Customer Service

33. Bank of America represents to EDD benefits recipients that they will not be responsible for unauthorized transactions. On a webpage listing FAQs about its EDD cards, Bank of America responds to the question "Am I responsible for transactions that I did not make?" by explaining that its "Zero Liability" policy "protects you against fraudulent transactions." In the "Quick Reference Guide" EDD cardholders receive with their card, Bank of America prominently

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

states: "Zero liability: If your card is stolen, Bank of America will reimburse you for any **unauthorized card transactions** subject to certain terms and conditions."

- 34. In its California Employment Development Department Debit Card Account Agreement ("Agreement") (attached here as **Exhibit B**), to which all EDD cardholders must agree, Bank of America sets forth these promises in greater detail. The Agreement states: "Under the Bank of America 'zero liability' policy, you may incur **no liability for unauthorized use** of your Card up to amount of the unauthorized transaction, provided you notify us within a reasonable time " The Agreement advises the cardholder to "contact us at the number listed below AT ONCE if you believe your Card has been lost or stolen or if you believe that someone may use of has used your PIN assigned to your card without your permission. Telephoning is the best way of keeping your possible losses down." The Agreement requires that cardholders call or write to report an unauthorized transaction "no later than 60 days" after Bank of America sent the statement on which the error appeared.
- 35. The Agreement promises that Bank of America "will determine whether an error occurred within 10 business days" after an unauthorized transaction is reported. The Agreement reserves the right to "take up to 45 days to investigate" if the company "need[s] more time." However, Bank of America promises, in that event, "we will credit your Account within **10 business days** for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation."

Quick Reference Guide

For your EDD Debit Card



Balance information and customer service is available 24/7.

36. Bank of America also represents to

EDD cardholders that the company's customer service is continuously available to assist with suspected fraud. On Bank of America's EDD card FAQ webpage, in response to the question "What are the Bank of America EDD Debit Card Customer Service hours?" Bank of America claims that

14 15

17

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27


28

"[f]or your convenience," Bank of America's "dedicated customer service representatives are available 24 hours [a] day, 7 days a week" by phone. The webpage further explains that customer service representatives can help with the following: "Resolve a question about your account statement," "Investigate transactions," "Process lost/stolen/damaged card reports," "Request an emergency cash transfer." The reference guide which accompanies EDD debit cards likewise claims that "customer service is available 24/7." In the Agreement, Bank of America advises EDD cardholders that "Telephoning is the best way of keeping your possible losses down."

Ε. **Economic Devastation and Unemployment During the Covid-19 Pandemic**

37. In Spring of 2020, the Covid-19 pandemic devasted California's economy, and millions of workers lost their jobs due to business closures and mass layoffs. The state's unemployment rate skyrocketed from 3.9% in January 2020 to 16.4% in April 2020, following the closure orders issued by Governor Gavin Newsom. Industries such as hospitality, food service, retail trade, and educational services have been especially hard hit.

38. As a result, millions of Californians turned to the EDD unemployment benefits programs administered by Bank of America to pay their bills. Since the start of the Covid-19 pandemic in March 2020, EDD has received at least 18.5 million claims for various unemployment benefits. In the first week of December 2020, EDD received 341,813 claims, a 600% increase from December 2019.



F. Rampant Fraud on EDD Cards and Accounts

- 39. In recent months, "an epidemic of hack attacks" on Bank of America EDD cards have been reported.⁷ Countless EDD cardholders have each reported hundreds and thousands of dollars stolen through unauthorized use of their Bank of America EDD debit cards. These unauthorized transactions have taken various forms—including massive ATM withdrawals in distant states and countries,⁸ thousand-dollar charges at luxury vendors, and repeated transactions with food delivery services. Regardless of how or where the fraud has been carried out, Bank of America's prepaid EDD debit cards have proven highly susceptible to unauthorized use.
- 40. After criminals exploit the security vulnerabilities of Bank of America's EDD cards and accounts and misappropriate account information, that information can be sold on the dark web, allowing the buyer to engage in unauthorized use of EDD accounts.⁹
- 41. In December 2020, for example, Plaintiff was surprised when her EDD card was declined at a grocery store. She subsequently viewed her EDD account statement and discovered that her account balance, which she knew should have been over \$400, had been drained to 70 cents as a result of four unauthorized transactions with Doordash, a food delivery service, for deliveries from restaurants in Texas, New York, and Southern California. Plaintiff lives in San Francisco and does not have a Doordash account.
- 42. Inexplicably, Plaintiff did not receive a notification about these highly unusual transactions, indicating that Bank of America failed to detect the instances of fraud. This is surprising considering Bank of America's representation to the State in its contract proposal that it "fully intend[s] to apply the most rigorous fraud detection procedures," including "employ[ing] the

⁷ Kenny Choi, "Victims of Bank of America EDD Debit Card Fraud Tell Stories of Fake Charges, Long Waits, Closed Claims," *KPIX–CBS SF Bay Area* (Dec. 22, 2020), *available at* https://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2020/12/22/victims-of-bank-of-america-edd-debit-card-fraud-tell-stories-of-closed-claims-frustration-loss/.

⁸ See, e.g., CBSLA Staff, "Bank of America Freezes EDD Accounts of Nearly 350,000 Unemployed Californians for Suspected Fraud," CBS Los Angeles (Oct. 29, 2020), available at https://losangeles.cbslocal.com/2020/10/29/bank-of-america-freezes-edd-accounts-of-nearly-350000-unemployed-californians-for-suspected-fraud/.

∠'+

highest level of security and fraud safeguards" based on "multiple layers of extensive security" and a "multi-faceted approach to combat fraud." The proposal specifically promises that Bank of America would provide "fraud monitoring" for all EDD cards and accounts, and to employ technology that Bank of America stated would provide "immediate response to emerging fraud trends" and allow fraudulent transactions to be "declined in real time."

- 43. Yet Bank of America's "rigorous" procedures somehow failed to detect the suspicious transactions in Plaintiff's account, which were spread out over thousands of miles and clearly bore the hallmarks of fraud.
- 44. Countless EDD cardholders have similarly reported not receiving any notification or communication from Bank of America regarding fraudulent transactions in their accounts, and instead discovered it themselves. Bank of America's fraud monitoring and controls have proven—in direct contradiction to its representations to the State—completely inadequate and ineffectual.

G. Bank of America's Evasive and Ineffective Response

- 45. Over the course of more than a month, Plaintiff has repeatedly sought assistance through Bank of America's fraud telephone hotline to no avail. She has repeatedly waited on hold before being disconnected from the line; waited to speak to someone only to be told to call back later; been transferred to various departments to no apparent end; been sent to voicemail; dealt with unhelpful automated agents; and unsuccessfully attempted to reach Bank of America by email. To date, Plaintiff has not been reimbursed for the unauthorized transactions on her EDD debit card, nor has Bank of America provisionally credited her account.
- 46. The EDD has stated that the responsibility to prevent fraud and address claims of unauthorized transactions lies entirely with Bank of America, clarifying on October 29 that **EDD** "has no direct access to debit funds on any accounts" and that those impacted by card issues should contact Bank of America.¹⁰ The agency has clarified that it has no means to intervene in Bank of America's procedures.

¹⁰ Matt Fountain, "Bank of America Froze SLO County Residents' Unemployment Benefits Because of Fraud," *San Luis Obispo Tribune* (Dec. 17, 2020), *available at* https://www.sanluisobispo.com/news/local/article247729155.html.

13

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

- 47. In October 2020, Bank of America made the unprecedented decision to freeze an estimated 350,000 EDD accounts, preventing those EDD cardholders from accessing their benefits. As of late November, less than eight percent of the frozen accounts had been reactivated.
- 48. There have been numerous reports of individuals who have discovered unauthorized transactions on their cards and sought assistance from Bank of America to no avail. Despite Bank of America's purported "Zero Liability" policy regarding fraudulent charges, Bank of America has been unhelpful and largely ineffective in its response. EDD cardholders often spend hours on hold with customer service, despite Bank of America having represented to EDD cardholders in the account Agreement that "[t]elephoning is the best way of keeping your possible losses down." Defrauded EDD cardholders have been forced to wait weeks or longer without any access to their EDD benefits because Bank of America makes it unreasonably difficult to file their claims, which (even if filed) can languish before the claim's investigation ever occurs.
- 49. Bank of America's inadequate response to EDD cardholders' issues with fraud on their EDD accounts makes eminently clear that it has failed to adequately staff its customer service and fraud investigation departments.
- 50. Bank of America's ineffective response to the rampant fraud has taken various forms, including not answering the customer service phone lines it advises EDD debit cardholders to call; opening claims and then closing them so soon thereafter that a full review could not have been done; crediting funds and then later debiting them without notice to the EDD cardholder; failing to extend provisional credit to EDD cardholders; and freezing EDD cardholder accounts unaffected by fraud. 11
- 51. In short, as has been widely reported, many EDD cardholders have been forced to undertake an "unofficial full-time job trying to get the money back." ¹²

¹¹ Kenny Choi, "Victims of Bank of America EDD Debit Card Fraud Tell Stories of Fake Charges, Long Waits, Closed Claims," KPIX–CBS SF Bay Area (Dec. 22, 2020), available at https:// sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2020/12/22/victims-of-bank-of-america-edd-debit-card-fraud-tell-storiesof-closed-claims-frustration-loss/.

¹² Lauren Hepler & Stephen Council, "How Bank of America Helped Fuel California's Unemployment Meltdown," CalMatters (Nov. 20, 2020), available at https://calmatters.org /economy/2020/11/how-bank-of-america-helped-fuel-californias-unemployment-meltdown/.

- 52. As one defrauded EDD cardholder reported: "It's kind of like a nightmare Every day I'm wondering what's more important. Do I get on the phone with the bank and try again so I have a place to sleep tomorrow, or do I just accept that I'm going to be on the street and focus on my job search? Because you can't do both." 13
- 53. A Bank of America customer service worker, addressing the company's response to the influx of EDD debit card fraud, reported: "We're actually no longer allowed to tell them a timeframe, because we have no clue Every day, I talk to 30 people with the same story. I just pray for them after my shift, honestly."¹⁴
- 54. Bank of America's disregard for EDD cardholders experiencing issues with fraud is particularly astonishing in light of the representations it made to the State in its proposal to administer EDD benefits, in which Bank of America stated, "we pride ourselves on providing stellar customer service to every caller. Long call hold waits and busy signals are not tolerated at Bank of America."

H. Plaintiff's Maddening Experience of Trying to Reach Bank of America

55. Plaintiff's experience seeking reimbursement under Bank of America's "No Liability" policy demonstrates the futility of turning to Bank of America's customer service department for assistance as an EDD debit card holder. After discovering the fraudulent charges on her debit card on December 1, 2020, Plaintiff **tried for days** to contact a Bank of America agent who would assist her with the fraud on her card. After calling the number on the back of the card and waiting on hold, Plaintiff eventually reached an agent who told her that her call needed to go to "claims." Despite Bank of America's representations of "24/7" customer service, the agent informed Plaintiff that she would need to **call back during business hours** and provided her with a number to call. Plaintiff called that number three times the following day, December 2, waiting on hold each time, **only to have the system repeatedly hang up on her**.

 $28 \parallel^{14} Id.$

¹³ *Id*.

- 56. Plaintiff found another Bank of America customer service number and called it, only to again wait on hold before the system hung up on her. Calling this number led Plaintiff to an automated customer service agent which asked Plaintiff for her card number. Even though Plaintiff provided her card number when asked, the automated agent would simply repeat its request for Plaintiff's card number, without offering any sort of assistance.
- 57. On December 3, 2020, Plaintiff tried once again to contact Bank of America by calling the number on the back of the card. This time she reached a live customer service agent, who advised Plaintiff to describe her claim in an email to a long email address involving a string of abbreviated words which the agent read to her over the phone.
- 58. Remarkably, this email address does not appear in her EDD card Account Agreement, the "Quick Reference Guide" which accompanied her EDD card, or the EDD card FAQ webpage. Nevertheless, when Plaintiff finally reached a live customer service representative, she was advised that sending an email to this previously undisclosed address represented her best chance of recovering the funds stolen from her account.
- 59. On December 6, 2020, Plaintiff sent an email to that address, providing Bank of America with her name, address, partial card number, and summary of the disputed charges. The following evening, however, Plaintiff's email provider (Gmail) sent her an email indicating there was trouble sending her email to that address, and that the system would attempt to send it for 45 more hours before sending a notification that delivery had failed.
- 60. Concerned with Bank of America's failures to respond, on December 8, 2020, Plaintiff filed a police report at her neighborhood precinct in San Francisco concerning the fraudulent charges on her Bank of America card.
- 61. On December 9, 2020, Gmail sent Plaintiff a message reading: "Message not delivered The recipient server did not accept our requests to connect."
- 62. On December 22, 2020, when Plaintiff had not received further assistance or communication from Bank of America, she again attempted to email a summary of her claim to the

7

9

10 11

13 14

> 15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

26

27

28

same email address. As before she was notified three days later that her email was not delivered and "The recipient server did not accept our requests to connect."

- 63. In short, Plaintiff has followed the instructions in her account agreement, and made consistent, diligent efforts to recover the funds stolen from her account by multiple telephone calls and email. In spite of this, Bank of America's customer service department offered Plaintiff no meaningful response or assistance, and indeed has stymied her efforts at nearly every turn.
- 64. At no point have Plaintiff or members of the proposed class received communication—via mail, email, text, or otherwise—from Bank of America regarding the ongoing widespread fraud affecting EDD debit cards or how defrauded EDD recipients should proceed, even after the Company had frozen hundreds of thousands of EDD accounts in a desperate and heavyhanded effort to stem the effects of the fraud. The only option presented to individuals like Ms. Yick has been to follow Bank of America's instructions to call the number on the debit card in reliance on its representations of a "Zero Liability" policy and "24/7" customer service. Unfortunately, as Plaintiff and members of the proposed class have learned, Bank of America's representations are false. Proceeding in accordance with Bank of America's policies and recommendations offers scant hope of recovering lost funds.
- 65. Flooded by the complaints of defrauded constituents, in late November 2020, fiftynine California lawmakers wrote a letter to Bank of America Chairman and CEO Brian Moynihan concerning the rampant fraud involving EDD cards and accounts, and the company's inadequate response. The letter notes, "The only recourse that EDD and our offices can currently provide constituents is to call Bank of America when these problems occur. However, constituents report they are unable to get through to your call centers, or when they do, the issue is not resolved." The letter adds, "Many of our own staff have also tried to reach Bank of America to no avail." ¹⁵
- 66. In response to the letter, Bank of America offered—ostensibly as reassurance—that fraud is "not something that happens for most [EDD debit] cards." The company represented to the

¹⁵ Philip Y. Ting, et al., Letter to Brian Moynihan (Nov. 24, 2020), available at https:// a19.asmdc.org/sites/a19.asmdc.org/files/pdf/b-letter-ceo-fnl.pdf (attached here as **Exhibit C**).

1	lawmakers that "[w]hen a legitimate cardholder reports that he or she has had fraud on his or her		
2	account (such as unauthorized use) and Bank of America's investigation confirms the report, Bank		
3	of America covers the losses to the cardholder consistent with federal law and pursuant to our 'Zero		
4	Liability' policy for unauthorized transactions."16		
5	67. California lawmakers reportedly plan to call Bank of America to Sacramento this		
6	month for questioning about the widespread and ongoing fraud associated with its EDD debit cards.		
7	V. <u>CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS</u>		
8	68. Plaintiff brings this class action lawsuit individually and as a class action pursuant to		
9	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 23, seeking declaratory and equitable relief on behalf of		
10	the two following subclasses (collectively, the "Class"):		
11	Declaratory and Injunctive Relief Class: All persons who were lawfully		
12	issued a Bank of America debit card for the purpose of accessing EDD benefits deposited into a Bank of America account, during the period from		
13	and including January 1, 2020, through the present ("Class Period").		
14	Frozen Account Class: All persons who were lawfully issued a Bank of America debit card for the purpose of accessing EDD benefits deposited		
15	into a Bank of America account, during the period from and including		
16	January 1, 2020, through the present ("Class Period"), and who were denied access to all or part of the EDD benefits on deposit with Bank of America		
17	due to Bank of America freezing EDD accounts.		
18	69. Excluded from the above-proposed Class is Defendant's officers and directors and		
19	any entity in which any Defendant has a controlling interest.		
20	70. Plaintiff reserves the right under Rule 23 to amend or modify the class descriptions		
21	with greater specificity or further division into subclasses or limitation to particular issues, based on		
22	information learned after the filing of this Complaint.		
23	71. This action has been brought and may properly be maintained as a class action		
24	against Bank of America pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23.		
25			
26			
27	¹⁶ Brian Putler (Dir. of Cal. Govt. Relations at Bank of America), Letter to Philip Y. Ting, <i>et al.</i> (Dec. 7, 2020), <i>available at</i> https://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/wp-content/uploads/sites/15116056		
28	/2020/12/California-letter-from-BOFA.pdf.		

- 18 Class Action Complaint
Yick v. Bank of America, N.A.

- a. Numerosity (Rule 23(a)(1)): The members of the Class are so numerous that their individual joinder is impracticable. Hundreds of thousands people, or more, received EDD debit card with magnetic stripes issued by Bank of America during the Class Period, or who otherwise meet would be members of the putative Class as defined above. Insofar as class members may be identified through business records regularly maintained by Bank of America or Bank of America's employees, agents, principles, joint venturers, partners, affiliates, parents, or subsidiaries, and through the media, the number and identities of putative Class members can be ascertained. Members of the putative Class can be notified of the pending action by e-mail, U.S. mail, and supplemented by published notice, if necessary.
- b. Commonality (Rule 23(a)(2) and 23(b)(3)): There are questions of law and fact common to the Class. These questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual class members. These common legal and factual issues include, but are not limited to:
 - i. Whether Defendant systematically engaged in conduct that constitutes *per se* violations of state and federal laws with respect to the distribution of EDD benefits through Bank of America debit cards, or with respect to any other performance under its contract with EDD;
 - Whether Defendant breached the duty of care that it owed to persons
 who received Bank of America debit cards with magnetic strips for
 the purpose of accessing EDD unemployment or other benefits;
 - iii. Whether Defendant should be enjoined from freezing the EDD benefit accounts of EDD accountholders and/or the EDD debit cards of EDD cardholders;

- iv. Whether Defendant's conduct breached any express or implied contract with EDD or any state agency, with the recipients of EDD debit cards, or with any member of the putative Class;
- v. Whether Defendant must provide damages, restitution, reimbursement, and/or other relief to EDD cardholders in the amount of any fraudulent or unauthorized transactions affecting their EDD debit cards, and/or whether Defendant must provide any such relief for foreseeable harm to the EDD cardholders resulting from Bank of America's unlawful conduct.
- c. **Typicality** (**Rule 23(a)(3)**): Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the putative Class. Plaintiff, like all other members of the putative Class, has sustained damages arising from Defendant's violations of the law, as alleged herein. The representative Plaintiff and the members of the putative Class were and are similarly or identically harmed by the same unlawful, deceptive, unfair, systematic, and pervasive pattern of misconduct engaged in by Defendant.
- d. Adequacy of Representation (Rule 23(a)(4)): The representative Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the putative Class members, and she has retained counsel who are experienced and competent trial lawyers in complex litigation and class action litigation. There are no material conflicts between the claims of the representative Plaintiff and the members of the putative Class that would make class certification inappropriate. Counsel for the putative Class will vigorously assert the claims of all putative Class members.
- 72. This action is properly maintained as a class action pursuant to Rule 23(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the following reasons:

- a. Class Action Status (Rule 23(b)(1)): Class action status is warranted here under Rule 23(b)(1)(A) because prosecution of separate actions by putative Class members would create a risk of establishing incompatible standards of conduct for Defendant. Class action status is also warranted under Rule 23(b)(1)(B) because prosecution of separate actions by putative Class members would create a risk of adjudication with respect to individual members of the Class that, as a practical matter, would be dispositive of the interests of other members not parties to this action, or that would substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests.
- b. **Declaratory and Injunctive Relief** (**Rule 23(b)(2)**): Certification under Rule 23(b)(2) is warranted because Defendant acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the putative Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive, declaratory, or other appropriate equitable relief with respect to the putative Class as a whole.
- c. **Superiority** (**Rule 23(b)(3)**): Certification under Rule 23(b)(3) is appropriate because questions of law or fact common to putative Class members predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and class action treatment is superior to the other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.
- d. The Class is ascertainable, and there is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law or fact alleged herein since the rights of each Class member were infringed or violated in the same or similar fashion.

VI. CAUSES OF ACTION

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the California Consumer Privacy Act

73. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.

- 74. The California Consumer Privacy Act ("CCPA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.100, et seq., provides consumers with a private right of action against businesses when their personal information is subject to unauthorized access, theft, or disclosure as a result of a business's breach of its duty to take reasonable steps to protect that information.
 - 75. Plaintiff and Class members are "consumers" as defined in the CCPA.
- 76. Defendant Bank of America is a "business" as that term is defined in the CCPA and therefore is subject to liability to thereunder.
- 77. Bank of America directly or indirectly collected Plaintiff's and Class members' personal information as defined in Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.81.5(d)(1)(A), including but not limited to Plaintiff's and Class member's first names or first initials, last names and account numbers or credit or debit card numbers, in combination with any required security codes, access codes, or passwords that would permit access to an individual's financial accounts.
- 78. Plaintiff's and Class members' individual and collective personal information was collected, stored, and/or transmitted by Bank of America in a nonencrypted and nonredacted form, or in some other form that permitted unauthorized individuals to access that information in violation of the CCPA.
- 79. As a business, Bank of America had a duty under CCPA to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of Plaintiff and Class members' personal information.
- 80. Bank of America breached its duty to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of Plaintiff's and Class members' personal information by, among other things, issuing EDD debit cards to Plaintiff and Class members with magnetic stripes but without EMV chip technology.
- 81. Upon information and belief, Bank of America further failed to implement and maintain reasonable security measures by transferring information regarding Plaintiff and Class members' EDD debit card to, and storing it on, unsecured or inadequately secured data storage devices, including at EDD.

- 82. As a direct and proximate result of Bank of America's failure to implement and maintain reasonable security procedures and practices appropriate to the nature of Plaintiff and Class members' personal information, Plaintiff and Class members suffered unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure of their nonencrypted and nonredacted personal information. Plaintiff and Class members never authorized such disclosure of their personal information.
- 83. Bank of America knew or should have known that issuing EDD debit cards with magnetic stripes but without EMV chip technology was not a reasonable security procedure or practice appropriate to the nature of Plaintiff and Class members' personal information and that a data breach resulting in the unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure of Plaintiff and Class members' personal information was highly foreseeable.
- 84. As a direct and proximate result of the unauthorized access and exfiltration, theft, or disclosure of their nonencrypted and nonredacted personal information, Plaintiff and Class members were injured and lost and/or continue to lose money or property, including but not limited to the monetary value of unauthorized transactions on the EDD debit cards issued by Defendant, the loss of Plaintiff and Class members' protected privacy interests in the confidentiality and privacy of their personal information, nominal damages, and additional losses as described above.
- 85. Plaintiff and Class members seek relief under Cal. Civ. Code § 1798.150(a), including but not limited to recovery of actual damages, statutory damages, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, its costs of suit, attorney's fees pursuant to Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5 or other appliable law, and any other relief the court deems proper.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of California's Unfair Competition Law

- 86. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.
- 87. California's Unfair Competition Law ("UCL") prohibits any "unlawful, unfair, or fraudulent business act or practice." Cal. Bus. & Prof Code § 17200, *et seq*.

88. Bank of America's "unfair" acts and business practices include, among other things:
(a) failing to transfer or store EDD cardholder and account information in a secure manner; (b)
representing to the State of California that its EDD debit cards and card services would entail "best-
in-class" fraud monitoring, and then failing to equip its EDD debit cards with EMV microchip
technology despite knowing that such technology would be a necessary component of "best-in-
class" fraud monitoring; (c) failing to provide reasonable or adequate telephone assistance to
Plaintiff and other Class members despite representing to them that such assistance is available
"24/7" and despite representing to them that "Telephoning is the best way of keeping your possible
losses down"; (d) failing to investigate and resolve Plaintiff's and Class members' claims of
unauthorized transactions in a timely manner despite its "Zero Liability" policy for unauthorized
transactions; and (e) failing to extend provisional credit to Plaintiff and class Members in cases
where it is unable to timely investigate and resolve fraud claims

- 89. Bank of America's acts, omissions, and conduct are "unfair" under the UCL because those acts, omissions, and conduct, as alleged herein, offend public policy and constitute immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous activities that caused substantial injury, including to Plaintiff and Class members. The harm caused by Bank of America's conduct outweighs any potential benefits attributable to such conduct, and there were reasonably available alternatives to further Defendant's legitimate business interests—namely issuing EDD debit cards with EMV microchips and maintaining procedures and resources adequate to timely resolve reports of fraudulent activity on prepaid EDD accounts—other than Bank of America's conduct described herein.
- 90. Bank of America has engaged in "unlawful" acts and business practices by violating multiple laws, including the California Consumer Privacy Act, as alleged herein; Regulation E of the federal Electronic Funds Transfer Act ("Regulation E"), which requires Bank of America to limit EDD cardholders' liability for unauthorized transactions and to extend provisional credit to EDD cardholders in cases where a fraud claim is not resolved within ten business days; and California statutory and common law, as alleged herein.

- 91. As alleged herein, Bank of America expressly represented to Plaintiff and Class members, among other things, that EDD cardholders would have "Zero Liability" for unauthorized transactions, and that customer service representatives would be available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to address Plaintiff's and Class members' issues regarding unauthorized transactions.
- 92. Bank of America has engaged in "fraudulent" acts and business practices because its false representations to EDD cardholders that they would have "Zero Liability" for unauthorized transactions and that customer service representatives would be available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week were likely to deceive, and did deceive, Plaintiff and Class members into using Bank of America's EDD debit card services to receive EDD benefits (instead of, for example, opting to receive EDD benefits via paper check) and into using Bank of America's EDD debit card services with substantially less vigilance than they otherwise would have, had they known about Defendant's fraudulent acts and business practices and false representations, as alleged herein.
- 93. As a result of Bank of America's violations of the UCL, Plaintiff and Class members are entitled to injunctive relief (a) prohibiting Bank of America from continuing its unfair, unlawful, and deceptive business practices, and (b) requiring Bank of America to take reasonable measures to prevent future unauthorized use of EDD debit cards and accounts and to ensure timely and adequate processing of EDD cardholders' claims regarding unauthorized or fraudulent use of their EDD debit cards or accounts.
- 94. As a result of Bank of America's violations of the UCL, Plaintiff and Class members have suffered injury in fact and lost money or property, including but not limited to the funds lost to fraud that have not been reimbursed, fees paid to Bank of America, and lost interest that would have accrued on funds during the period of time when the funds were unavailable due to Bank of America's failure to timely and adequately investigate claims of unauthorized transactions and other violations of the UCL.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violations of The Electronic Funds Transfer Act

(15 U.S.C. § 1693, et seq.; 12 C.F.R. § 1005.1 et seq.)

- 95. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.
- 96. Plaintiff brings this cause of action pursuant to the United States Electronic Funds Transfer Act ("EFTA") and 12 C.F.R. §§ 1005.1–1005.20 (Regulation E of the EFTA).
- 97. Plaintiff and Class members provided notice to Bank of America within 60 days after Bank of America sent a period statement reflecting an unauthorized transaction (which is an "error" under Regulation E), thereby triggering the error resolution requirements of 12 C.F.R. § 1005.11.
- 98. Bank of America violated Regulation E, 12 C.F.R. § 1005.11, because it failed to provide provisional credit to Plaintiff and Class members accounts relating to error investigations that could not be resolved within 10 business days.
- 99. In situations where Bank of America has violated Regulation E by failing to provisionally Plainitff's and Class members' accounts, Bank of America has failed to conduct good faith investigations into the unauthorized transactions that Plaintiff and Class members have reported or attempted to report by, among other things, failing to provide Plaintiff and Class members reasonable access to Bank of America's customer service, and failing to provide Plaintiff and Class members meaningful assistance when they have been able to reach customer service. Plaintiff and Class members are therefore entitled to treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1693f(e).
- 100. In situations where Bank of America has violated Regulation E by failing to provisionally recredit Plaintiff's and Class members' accounts, Bank of America has not had a reasonable basis for believing that the account was not in error. Instead, Bank of America: (a) has made it unreasonably difficult for EDD cardholders to report suspected unauthorized transactions, and (b) has not used at all relevant times, and is not currently using, the resources and procedures necessary to resolve the levels of fraud that are occurring on Plaintiff's and Class members' accounts. This demonstrates that Bank of America has been unable or unwilling to form a reasonable basis for believing an account was not in error. Plaintiff and Class members are therefore entitled to treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1693f(e).

8 9

10 11

12

13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

101. Bank of America knowingly and willfully concluded that Plaintiff's and Class members' accounts were not in error when such conclusion could not reasonably have been drawn from the evidence available to Bank of America at the time of its investigation. Plaintiff and Class members are therefore entitled to treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1693f(e).

- 102. Bank of America violated Regulation E by failing to limit Plaintiff's and Class members' liability as required by 12 C.F.R. § 1005.6(b).
- Plaintiff provided notice to Bank of America less than two business days after 103. learning of the fraudulent transactions that occurred in her EDD Bank of America account. Under 12 C.F.R. § 1005.6(b)(1), Plaintiff's and similarly-situated Class members' liability is capped at \$50 in these circumstances. Despite this cap on liability, Bank of America has subjected Plaintiff and similarly-situated Class members' to over \$50 in liability.
- 104. Under 12 C.F.R. § 1005.6(b)(2), \$500 is the maximum liability when an accountholder does not provide notice to the financial institution within two business days after learning of a suspected unauthorized transaction.
- Regarding any Class members who did not provide Bank of America with actual 105. notice within two business days of learning of a suspected unauthorized transaction, Bank of America was on constructive notice, under 12 C.F.R. § 1005.6(b)(5)(iii), of widespread unauthorized electronic funds transfers from EDD debit card accounts since the beginning of the Covid-19 pandemic. Since that time, countless unauthorized fund transfers have occurred and continue to occur from EDD accounts. The volume of calls from EDD cardholders to Bank of America's customer service to report unauthorized transactions has been, and continues to be, so great that EDD cardholders routinely wait on hold for multiple hours. The widespread fraud specifically targeting EDD cardholders has been widely reported in the media and has been the subject of significant attention from California legislators.
- 106. In no event should any class member be liable for over \$500 of damages under 12 C.F.R. § 1005.6. Bank of America has violated 12 C.F.R. § 1005.6 by imposing hundreds and thousands of dollars of liability on unemployed Californians.

107. As a direct and proximate result of Bank of America violating Regulation E, Plaintiff and Class members have lost money.

108. Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and the Class, seek the following relief: (a) actual damages; (b) restitution of all EDD benefits funds improperly debited by Bank of America; (c) statutory damages; (d) treble damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693f(e); (e) incidental and consequential damages suffered due to their inability to pay bills or otherwise use their unemployment funds; and (f) an injunction barring Bank of America from illegally debiting EDD benefits.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligence

- 109. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.
- 110. Bank of America owed a duty to Plaintiff and the other Class members to exercise reasonable care to (a) safeguard their unemployment and other EDD benefits; (b) respond to the rise in use of unemployment and other EDD benefits that occurred in 2020 (both in terms of the amount of benefits paid out and the number of recipients) by issuing them EDD debit cards with EMV chips (to all new and existing EDD cardholders); (c) ensure that its customer service staffing levels, technology, and operations were capable of providing them with reasonably timely and effective customer service, including for fraudulent or unauthorized transactions related to their EDD debit cards or accounts; (d) provide them with reasonable and adequate notice that their EDD debit cards and accounts were at risk of being subject to unauthorized use; (e) timely and adequately investigate and resolve their claims regarding unauthorized or fraudulent transactions; and (f) extend to them provisional credit in cases where Bank of America fails to timely resolve their fraud-related claims.
- 111. Bank of America breached its duty to Plaintiff and Class members by, among other things: (a) failing to transfer or store their EDD cardholder and account information in a secure manner; (b) failing to issue them EDD debit cards with EMV chips, despite having for years been well-aware of the risks associated with magnetic "stripe" technology; (c) failing to respond to the

dramatic increase in EDD benefits recipients and dollars (which foreseeably would make EDD debit cards and accounts of greater interest to bad actors) by issuing EDD debit cards with EMV chips to all new and existing EDD cardholders, and taking other reasonably prudent security measures to prevent fraudulent and unauthorized transactions; (d) failing to ensure its customer service operation was capable of providing reasonably timely and effective assistance to Plaintiff and Class members, including when they are victims of fraudulent or unauthorized transactions; (e) failing to give reasonable and adequate notice to Plaintiff and Class members that their EDD benefits were and remain at risk of being vulnerable to fraudulent and unauthorized transactions; (f) failing process EDD cardholders' claims regarding fraudulent or unauthorized transactions in a reasonably timely and adequate manner; and (g) failing to extend provisional credit to Plaintiff and Class members when Bank of America fails to resolve their claims regarding fraudulent or unauthorized transactions in a reasonably timely and adequate manner.

- 112. Bank of America's misconduct concerning its failure to safeguard EDD cardholders' funds is inconsistent with industry standards, which prescribe using EMV chip technology in debit cards.
- 113. Bank of America's misconduct concerning its failure to timely and adequately respond to Plaintiff's and Class members' claims of fraudulent and unauthorized transactions on their EDD debit cards or accounts is inconsistent with industry regulations, including Regulation E.
- 114. Bank of America's misconduct is inconsistent with its own policies and procedures for non-EDD debit card accounts, for which Bank of America consistently deploys EMV chip technology to prevent fraud.
- 115. The harm inflicted upon Plaintiff and other Class members was reasonably foreseeable to Bank of America because it was and is well aware of the security risks associated with magnetic stripe technology, and because it knew or should have known its customer service resources and/or procedures were insufficient to accommodate issues stemming from the significant increase in EDD benefits and EDD benefits recipients due to the sharp rise in unemployment in the State of California caused by or related to the Covid-19 pandemic, as well as the well-publicized

sharp rise in financial fraud during the Covid-19 pandemic, both of which would foreseeably lead to an increased demand for customer service by Plaintiff and Class members for all purposes, including for the purpose of reporting and attempting to resolve claims of fraudulent or unauthorized transactions.

116. As a direct and proximate result of Bank of America's misconduct, Plaintiff and Class members have been deprived of their EDD benefits and have failed to receive accrued interest thereon.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligent Performance of Contract

- 117. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.
- 118. Bank of America owed a duty to Plaintiff and the other Class members to exercise reasonable care in performing its contract with the State of California because Plaintiff and other Class members were and are intended beneficiaries of the contract. To fulfill this duty, bank of America was and is obligated to: (a) safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' EDD benefits; (b) respond to the rise in demand for EDD benefits which occurred in 2020 by issuing chip cards; (c) ensure its customer service operation was capable of providing effective assistance to EDD cardholders who experience fraud on their debit card; (d) warn or notify Plaintiff and Class members if their EDD benefits were at risk of being subject to unauthorized use; (e) to timely and adequately investigate and resolve claims regarding unauthorized transactions; and (f) extend provisional credit in cases where fraud claims are not timely resolved.
- 119. Bank of America breached its duty to Plaintiff and Class members by, among other things: (a) failing to transfer or store their EDD cardholder and account information in a secure manner; (b) failing to issue EDD debit cards with microchips, despite having for years been well-aware of the risks associated with magnetic "stripe" technology; (c) failing to respond to the rise in demand for EDD benefits by issuing chip cards; (d) failing to ensure its customer service operation was capable of providing effective assistance to EDD cardholders who experience fraud on their

debit card; (e) failing to warn or notify EDD cardholders that their EDD benefits were and remain at risk of being subject to unauthorized use; (f) failing to timely or adequately process EDD cardholders' claims regarding unauthorized transactions; and (g) failing to extend provisional credit in cases where fraud claims are not timely resolved.

- 120. Bank of America's misconduct with regard to its failure to safeguard EDD cardholders' funds is inconsistent with industry standards, which prescribe using EMV chip technology in debit cards.
- Bank of America's misconduct with regard to its failure to timely and adequately respond to claims of fraud on EDD debit cards is inconsistent with industry regulations, including Regulation E.
- 122. Bank of America's misconduct is inconsistent with its own policies and procedures for non-EDD debit card accounts, for which it implements EMV chip technology to prevent fraud.
- 123. The harm inflicted upon Plaintiff and other Class members was reasonably foreseeable to Bank of America because Bank of America was well aware of the security risks associated with magnetic stripe technology, was aware that EDD cardholder and account information should be transferred and stored in a secure manner, and knew or should have known its customer service resources and/or procedures were insufficient to accommodate issues stemming from the increased distribution of EDD benefits which foreseeably entailed an increase in fraud claims.
- 124. As a direct and proximate result of Bank of America's misconduct, Plaintiff and Class members have been deprived of their EDD benefits and have failed to receive the accrued interest thereon.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligent Failure to Warn

125. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.

- 126. During all times relevant to this Complaint, Bank of America had actual or constructive knowledge of all relevant aspects of the vulnerabilities to fraud of using magnetic stripe technology in debit cards.
- 127. During all times relevant to this Complaint, Bank of America had a duty to exercise reasonable and ordinary care and skill, and to behave in accordance with appliable standards of conduct, in adequately warning EDD cardholders about the fact that their EDD benefits would be at a substantial risk of being fraudulently appropriated due to the magnetic stripe technology used in its cards.
- 128. Bank of America breached its duty by failing to adequately warn EDD cardholders about the risks associated with magnetic stripe technology in debit cards.
- 129. As a direct and proximate consequence of Bank of America's breach, Plaintiff and Class member have been severely harmed. Plaintiff and Class members have been deprived of the EDD benefits which, in many cases, represented their only available funds.
- 130. Bank of America's failure to warn was willful, malicious, oppressive, fraudulent, and/or in reckless disregard of Plaintiff's and Class members' rights, thereby entitled Plaintiff and Class members to punitive damages.
- 131. Bank of America's ongoing failure to warn EDD cardholders about the risks associated with EDD prepaid debit cards is irreparably harming Plaintiff and Class members, whose EDD benefits remain vulnerable to unauthorized use. Plaintiff and Class members seek injunctive relief, and any and all available damages and/or restitution, in an amount to be proven at trial.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract

- 132. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.
- 133. Plaintiff and Class members entered into a contract requiring Bank of America to administer EDD benefits to them through prepaid debit cards.

27 |

134. The contract provides in Section 9:

Federal law (described in the section below entitled "Regulation E Liability Disclosure; Your Liability in Case of Loss, Theft or Unauthorized Transactions") may limit your liability for unauthorized transactions in some circumstances. Under the Bank of America "zero liability" policy, you may incur no liability for unauthorized use of your Card up to the amount of the transaction, provided you notify us within a reasonable time of the loss or theft of your Card, Card number or PIN or its unauthorized use, subject to the following terms and conditions

135. The contract provides in Section 11:

We will determine whether an error occurred within 10 business days after we hear from you—and will correct any error promptly. If we need more time, however, we may take up to 45 days to investigate your complaint or question. If we decide to do this, we will credit your Account within 10 business days for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation.

- 136. Plaintiff and Class members did all, or substantially all, of the significant things that the contract required and fulfilled any conditions precedent to Bank of America's performance, including, among other things, contacting or attempting to contact Bank of America to reimburse fraudulently appropriated funds within the time specified in the account agreement.
- 137. Bank of America failed to perform as promised in the contract by, among other things: (a) failing to timely investigate and resolve claims of unauthorized card use, as required by Section 11 of the account agreement; (b) failing to reimburse Plaintiff and Class members for unauthorized card use or provide provisional credit within ten business days, as required by Section 11 of the account agreement; and (c) failing to limit EDD cardholders' liability as required by Section 9 of the account agreement.
- 138. Plaintiff and Class members were harmed by Bank of America's conduct and have suffered actual damages in an amount equal to the difference in the value of the banking services they provided valuable consideration for and the banking services they received.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Implied Contract

139. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.

- 140. Bank of America provided banking services to Plaintiff and members of the Class. In exchange Bank of America benefitted and continues to benefit from Plaintiff and the Class because it makes money through fees associated with EDD debit cards.
 - 141. Bank of America acknowledged these benefits and accepted or retained them.
- 142. In using Bank of America's banking services, Plaintiff and Class members continually provide Bank of America with the ability and opportunity to make money through fees associated with EDD debit cards.
- By providing Bank of America that ability and opportunity, and upon Bank of America' acceptance of it, Plaintiff and Class members, on the one hand, and Bank of America, on the other, entered into implied contracts separate and apart from Bank of America's terms of service, under which Bank of America and agreed to and was obligated to take reasonable steps to ensure that Bank of America prepaid EDD debit card accounts were secure against unauthorized transactions and that any claims regarding unauthorized transactions were adequately investigated and resolved.
- 144. All parties understood that such protections and customer service obligations were integral and essential to Bank of America's business.
- 145. Under those implied contracts, Bank of America was obligated to provide Plaintiff and Class members with EDD prepaid debit card services that were suitable for their intended purpose of saving and accessing EDD benefits as needed, rather than such services that failed to take reasonable steps to safeguard their money, warn or notify them in the event that their EDD benefits were at risk of unauthorized use, or adequately investigate or resolve claims regarding unauthorized transactions.
- Without such implied contracts, Plaintiff and Class members would not have used 146. Bank of America's prepaid debit card services and would not have conferred benefits on Bank of America.

26

27

28

147. Plaintiff and Class members fully performed their obligations and fulfilled any relevant conditions under these implied contracts, including by, among other things, seeking assistance from Bank of America regarding suspected unauthorized transactions on EDD accounts.

- 148. As described throughout, Bank of America did not take reasonable steps to protect Plaintiff's and Class members' deposited funds from unauthorized transactions or to adequately investigate or resolve claims regarding unauthorized transactions. In fact, Bank of America willfully violated those interests by electing to issue EDD prepaid debit cards with outdated magnetic stripe technology, which it knows to be uniquely vulnerable to fraud, in lieu of EMV chip technology, which has been included in Bank of America consumer debit cards for over six years for the express purpose of protecting against fraud.
- 149. Because Bank of America failed to take reasonable steps to protect EDD prepaid debit card holders' funds from being appropriated through unauthorized transactions and failed to take reasonable steps to timely or adequately respond to claims regarding unauthorized transactions, Bank of America breached its implied contracts with Plaintiff and Class members.
- 150. Bank of America's failure to fulfill its obligations to take reasonable steps to protect EDD prepaid debit card holders' funds from being appropriated through unauthorized transactions, and its failure to take reasonable steps to timely or adequately respond to claims regarding unauthorized transactions resulted in Plaintiff and Class members receiving banking services that were of less value than they provided consideration for.
- Stated otherwise, because Plaintiff and Class members provided valuable 151. consideration for banking services in the form of fees associated with the cards, they did not receive the full benefit of their bargain.
- As a result of Bank of America's conduct Plaintiff and members of the Class have suffered actual damages in an amount equal to the difference in the value of the banking services they provided valuable consideration for and the banking services they received.

26

27

1

6

10

11

9

12 13

15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

153. Accordingly, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and Class members, seeks an order declaring that Bank of America's conduct constitutes a breach of implied contract, and awarding them damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing

- 154. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.
- 155. There is a covenant of good faith and fair dealing implied in every contract and every implied contract. This implied covenant requires each contracting party to refrain from doing anything to injure the right of the other to receive the benefits of the agreement. To fulfill its covenant, a party must give at least as much consideration to the interests of the other party as it gives to its own interests.
- 156. Under the covenant of good faith and fair dealing implied in its account agreements with Plaintiff and Class members, Bank of America was and is obligated to, at a minimum, (a) safeguard Plaintiff's and Class members' EDD benefits; (b) respond to the rise in demand for EDD benefits which occurred in 2020 by issuing chip cards; (c) ensure its customer service operation was capable of providing effective assistance to EDD cardholders who experience fraud on their debit card; (d) warn or notify Plaintiff and Class members if their EDD benefits were at risk of being subject to unauthorized use; (e) to timely and adequately investigate and resolve claims regarding unauthorized transactions; and (f) extend provisional credit in cases where fraud claims are not timely resolved.
- Bank of America breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by, among other things: (a) failing to issue EDD debit cards with microchips, despite having for years been well-aware of the risks associated with magnetic "stripe" technology; (b) failing to respond to the rise in demand for EDD benefits by issuing chip cards; (c) failing to ensure its customer service operation was capable of providing effective assistance to EDD cardholders who experience fraud on their debit card; (d) failing to warn or notify EDD cardholders that their EDD benefits were and

remain at risk of being subject to unauthorized use; (e) failing to timely or adequately process EDD cardholders' claims regarding unauthorized transactions; and (f) failing to extend provisional credit in cases where fraud claims are not timely resolved.

As a direct and proximate result of Bank of America's breaches of the implied 158. covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered actual losses and damages.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Breach of Contract (Third-Party Beneficiaries)

- 159. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference each and every allegation set forth above, as though fully set forth here.
- 160. Bank of America and the State of California EDD entered into a contract requiring Bank of America to administer EDD benefits to Plaintiff and Class members through prepaid debit cards.
- 161. A motivating purpose of the EDD in entering the contract was for EDD benefits recipients to benefit from the contract through secure administration of EDD benefits and competent customer service relating to the administration of EDD benefits. EDD cardholders are accordingly third-party beneficiaries of the contract between the EDD and Bank of America.
- 162. Plaintiff, Class members, and the State of California EDD did all, or substantially all, of the significant things that the contract required and fulfilled any conditions precedent to Bank of America's performance.
- 163. Bank of America failed to perform as promised in the contract by, among other things: (a) failing to take adequate steps to prevent fraud on EDD accounts, including but not limited to its failure to incorporate EMV chips into EDD debit cards; (b) failing to timely investigate and resolve claims of unauthorized card use, as required by Section 11 of the account agreement; and (c) failing to reimburse Plaintiff and Class members for unauthorized card use or provide provisional credit within ten business days, as required by Section 11 of the account agreement.

164. Plaintiff and Class members were harmed by Bank of America's conduct and have suffered actual damages in an amount equal to the difference in the value of the banking services they provided valuable consideration for and the banking services they received.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class, prays for the following relief:


- A. For an order certifying the Class as defined above, appointing Plaintiff as representative for the Class, and appointing Plaintiff's counsel as counsel for the Class;
- B. For declaratory and injunctive relief prohibiting Defendant from engaging in the misconduct described herein, including but not limited to ordering that Defendant take each of the following corrective actions:
 - (1) Refund all Class members for the value of unauthorized transactions from their EDD accounts;
 - (2) Issue EDD debit cards with EMV chips to all current and future EDD cardholders;
 - (3) Establish a customer service website, e-mail address, and telephone hotline that allow EDD cardholders to report unauthorized transactions and request reimbursement of the same in a reasonably easy and hassle-free manner;
 - (4) Respond to EDD cardholders' claims of unauthorized transactions and requests for reimbursement within a reasonable time; and
 - (5) Provide a reasonable opportunity for Class members to file claims regarding unauthorized transactions that otherwise would be deemed expired;
- C. For an award of all recoverable compensatory, statutory, and other damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class members, including disgorgement, unjust enrichment, restitution, and all other available relief under applicable law, including but not limited to accrued interest for the periods during which Plaintiff and Class members were deprived of funds in their EDD accounts due to unauthorized transactions;

1	D.	For an award of punitive damages pursuant to applicable law;	
2	E.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses as permitted by California Code of Civil	
3	Procedure § 1021.5, 15 U.S.C. § 1693m(a)(3), and any other applicable statute or law;		
4	F.	For taxable costs;	
5	G.	For pre- and post-judgment interest as allowed by law; and	
6	H.	For any other relief the Court deems just.	
7			
8		JURY DEMAND	
9	Plaintiff requests a trial by jury of all claims that are so triable.		
10			
11	Dated: Janu	ary 14, 2021 COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP	
12		By:/s/Brian Danitz	
13			
14		BRIAN DANITZ NOORJAHAN RAHMAN	
15		ANDREW F. KIRTLEY	
16		JULIA Q. PENG	
17		Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class	
18		7	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		20	
- 39 - Class Action Complaint		- 39 - Class Action Complaint	

Class Action Complaint *Yick v. Bank of America, N.A.*


EXHIBIT A





Bank of America Begins Rollout of Chip Debit Cards

Bank of America is the First Major U.S. Bank to Issue Chip on Consumer and Small Business Debit Cards



Bank of America today announced that beginning this week, it will include chip technology on all new and reissued consumer and small business debit cards. Bank of America already includes chips on the majority of its credit card programs and is the first major U.S. bank to add the chip technology to debit cards. (Graphic: Business Wire)

September 30, 2014 11:10 AM Eastern Daylight Time

CHARLOTTE, N.C.--(<u>BUSINESS WIRE</u>)--Bank of America today announced that beginning this week it will include chip technology on all new and reissued consumer and small business debit cards. Bank of America already includes chips on the majority of its credit card programs and is the first major U.S. bank to add the chip technology to debit cards.

Cards with chip technology, which are also referred to as EMV (Europay, MasterCard, Visa) cards, are embedded with a microprocessor chip that encrypts transaction information. Each time the chip card is used, the transaction data changes, making it more difficult to copy or counterfeit the card.

Many countries outside the U.S. have already adopted EMV chip technology and it is expected to become the security standard for card payments in the U.S. as merchants begin adding chip-enabled terminals over the next year.

"Chip technology is an important tool in increasing card security, and we want our customers to have the best possible experience when using their payment cards," said Titi Cole, retail products and underwriting executive for Bank of America. "The new chip-enabled debit cards will improve security of customers' transactions when traveling abroad and at home as more U.S. merchants adopt chip technology."

At merchant local consection of the plant of

Beginning in October, debit cards issued to new customers will automatically include the chip technology. Existing customers will receive chip cards when their existing debit cards are replaced upon expiration or for any other reason.

Bank of America has been adding chips to its consumer, commercial and corporate credit cards in the U.S. since 2012.

"We will continue to be a leader in the protection of our customers' cards and in the prevention and detection of fraud," added Cole. "We are on track to convert our card portfolio to EMV and expect to have the majority converted by late next year."

Bank of America

Bank of America is one of the world's leading financial institutions, serving individual consumers, small businesses, middle-market businesses and large corporations with a full range of banking, investing, asset management and other financial and risk management products and services. The company provides unmatched convenience in the United States, serving approximately 49 million consumer and small business relationships with approximately 5,000 retail banking offices and approximately 16,000 ATMs and award-winning online banking with 30 million active users and more than 15 million mobile users. Bank of America is among the world's leading wealth management companies and is a global leader in corporate and investment banking and trading across a broad range of asset classes, serving corporations, governments, institutions and individuals around the world. Bank of America offers industry-leading support to approximately 3 million small business owners through a suite of innovative, easy-to-use online products and services. The company serves clients through operations in more than 40 countries. Bank of America Corporation stock (NYSE: BAC) is listed on the New York Stock Exchange.

For more Bank of America news, visit the Bank of America newsroom.

www.bankofamerica.com

Contacts
Reporters May Contact:
Betty Riess, Bank of America, 1.415.913.4416
betty.riess@bankofamerica.com

EXHIBIT B

Terms and Conditions

California Employment Development Department Debit Card Account Agreement

Effective Date March 1, 2018

By using or allowing another to use your California Employment Development Department Debit Card, you agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of this California Employment Development Department Debit Card Account Agreement ("this Agreement"). This Agreement discloses the terms and conditions of your California Employment Development Department Debit Card and you are not entitled to any rights or benefits given to deposit account customers or debit card holders at Bank of America, N.A. unless such rights or benefits are contained in this Agreement. Please read this Agreement and the enclosed Fee Disclosure and Other Important Disclosures ("Fee Disclosure") carefully and keep it for future reference In this Agreement: "Account" means the account accessed by your Card; "Card" or "Government Prepaid Debit Card" means the Government Prepaid Debit Card issued by us on behalf of California Employment Development Department ("EDD") to enable you to receive Unemployment or State Disability Insurance benefits to eligible recipients, "you" and "your" mean the recipient to whom we issue a Card or his or her legal representative; and "we", "us", and "our" mean Bank of America, N.A.

1 General Information.

General Account Information. Your Account is funded by the EDD with deductions for transactions and fees as more fully described in this Agreement. No interest is paid on the balance for any period of time. **Individual Accounts Only.** Each Account is individually owned by the recipient who receives payments from the EDD. No joint ownership of an Account is permitted. You may have only one Card for each Account.

Accounts are Not Transferable. Your Account is not transferable to any other person. We reserve the right not to acknowledge or accept attempted pledges or assignments of, or purported security interests in, your Account.

Business Days. For purposes of this Agreement, our business days are Monday through Friday, excluding bank holidays.

2 Deposits to Your Account and Funds Availability.

Funds Added to Your Account. Deposits to your Account may only be made by the EDD. We will add funds to your Account only (a) in accordance with instructions from the EDD or (b) to remedy an error made by us or by someone who has accepted your Account. For information on the amounts and scheduled dates of additions to your Account, please contact the EDD. Once funds are properly deposited, the EDD has no rights to any funds in your Account, except as otherwise provided by law or the rules of the network used to make the deposit.

When Funds are Available for Withdrawal. Funds are available for your use on the day we have been instructed by the EDD to fund your Account. Once the funds are available, you may make the transactions

described below. FERGS: Received By Os rhay be stelled for all larged for all larged for a long to the stelled for a long

Overpayments and Reversals. If funds to which you are not entitled are deposited to your Account by mistake or otherwise, we may deduct these funds from your Account. If there are not enough funds, we may overdraw your Account. We can do this without giving you any prior notice or demand. **"Freezing" Your Account.** If we suspect irregular, unauthorized, or unlawful activities may be involved with your Account, we may "freeze" (or place a hold on) the balance pending an investigation of such suspected activities. If we freeze your Account, we will give you a notice required by law.

3 Transfer Types and Limitations.

Account Access. You may use your Card to:

- (1) Pay for purchases at places that have agreed to accept the Card as described below.
- (2) Withdraw cash from your Account including by way of Emergency Cash Transfers as described below.
- (3) Transfer funds from your Account to a checking or savings account owned by you in the United States whenever you request as described below.
- (4) Pay bills directly [by telephone] from your Account in the amounts and on the days you request Some of these services may not be available at all terminals.

Purchases. Your Card bears the Visa® symbol on the front face. Your Card may be used for purchases at merchants who accept Visa debit cards at a point-of-sale ("POS") terminal. Visa transactions may be made by presenting your Card and signing the receipt. You may also use your Card for purchases at POS terminals that require a Personal Identification Number ("PIN"). Some merchants will accept a transaction for an amount greater than the goods or services purchased and will refund the difference to you in cash.

Use at Cirrus® or Visa Automated Teller Machines. Your Card may be used for transactions at Cirrus or Visa Automated Teller Machines ("ATMs") to make cash withdrawals or balance inquiries requiring a PIN. See the Fee Disclosure for fees which may apply to ATM transactions. Most ATMs require that cash withdrawals be made in multiples of a dollar amount (e.g. \$10 or \$20). In addition, some ATM operators have maximum amounts that may be withdrawn at a machine in one transaction. Many merchants limit the amount of cash that may be obtained in connection with a purchase transaction.

Obtaining Cash. Offices of financial institutions that accept Visa cards, including Bank of America banking centers, will accept your Card for obtaining cash.

Online Funds Transfer. Your Card can be used to transfer funds online to a checking or savings account owned by you in the United States, subject to certain restrictions. This type of transfer may only be requested online via the Internet at www.bankofamerica.com/eddcard. Once funds are transferred, you will not be able to have the funds returned to your Account if the routing number or account number you provide for your checking or savings account is not correct.

Emergency Cash Transfers. You may obtain cash at a Western Union location in the United States ("Emergency Cash Transfer"). All Emergency Cash Transfer requests must be initiated through the Bank of America California Employment Development Department Debit Card Service Center (the "Service Center"). All requests for Emergency Cash Transfers are subject to the Emergency Cash Transfer Fees stated in the Fee Disclosure. All requests for Emergency Cash Transfers are subject to Western Union guidelines which

could vary by states and could include certain works ilmits, require free feets and other restrictions. If you request an Emergency Cash Transfer, you agree that we have no liability for any losses or damages that you may suffer arising out of any action, non-action or delayed action on the part of Western Union or its agents or any other third party.

Limitations on Frequency of Transfers. You may withdraw up to \$1,000 from any ATM each 24-hour period using the Card. For security reasons, there may be limits on the amount, number or type of transactions that you can make using your Card, and we may restrict access to your Card if we notice suspicious activity.

4 Additional Account Agreements.

Account Alert Service. You may sign up online for text or email alerts (the "Account Alert Service") to the mobile device or email address provided by you. Text or email alerts are dependent upon users providing a valid and current Internet email address or valid mobile device phone number. You can set up alert messaging options online at www.bankofamerica.com/eddcard. After signing up for the Account Alert Service, you must respond with an appropriate code to an alert sent to your mobile device (double opt-in) in order to begin receiving text message alerts. If you do not respond with the code provided in the alert, the Account Alert Service is not authorized. Each message references the last four digits of the Card for which the alert is sent. To change your alert messaging options or to discontinue the Service, go to www.bankofamerica.com/eddcard.

If you sign up for the Account Alert Service, you agree that we may send you text alerts through your wireless service provider. We do not charge for the Account Alert Service, but you are responsible for all charges and fees associated with usage of email or text messages imposed by your Internet, wireless, or cellular service provider(s).

Depending on the timing and the delivery mechanism, your balance may not reflect your most recent transactions. We assume no responsibility for transactions that may affect your balance after daily processing.

You understand and agree that the information provided to you by email or text alert is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, and that we assume no responsibility for the timeliness, deletion, misdelivery, errors in the content of any email or text alerts or failure to store any user communications or personalization settings. In no event shall we be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind, or any damages whatsoever resulting from loss of use, data or profits, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability, arising out of or in connection with the use or provision of this information.

The Account Alert Service can be terminated if we determine that your mobile device or email address does not support delivery of alerts, or if you have de-listed your mobile device or email address. In addition, we reserve the right at any time and from time-to-time to modify or discontinue, temporarily or permanently, the Account Alert Service (or any part thereof) with or without notice.

Refunds and Merchant Disputes. You do not receive cash refunds for returns of merchandise or services purchased using your Card. When a merchant gives you a refund, it is made on a credit voucher and will appear in your next monthly Account statement or in your Account history. You must settle any disputes you have about the goods or services directly with the merchant. We are not liable for any

misrepresentations that a merchant on a Rescalabilithe golds of self-likes you with your Card, or if a merchant for any reason refuses to accept your Card or fails to abide by the applicable network rules governing your Card.

Legal Transactions. You agree that you will only use your Card for transactions that are legal. For example, Internet gambling transactions may be illegal in your state. Display of a Visa or other logo by an on-line merchant does not mean that the transaction is legal where you conduct it. You agree that we may decline transactions we believe may be illegal or in violation of the applicable network rules. You also agree that if we do not decline the transaction, we may charge your Account and we are not liable to you if you engage in an illegal transaction.

ATM Safety. Please refer to the safety tips for using your Card at ATMs found on the mailer that came with your Card.

- 5 Your Responsibility for Authorized Card Account Use and Negative Balances.
 - Use of Your Card and PIN. Your Card and PIN are provided for your use and protection and you will:
 - (a) Not disclose your PIN or record it on your Card or otherwise make it available to anyone else;
 - (b) Use your Card, your PIN and any ATM only as instructed;
 - (c) Promptly notify us of any loss or theft of your Card or PIN; and
 - (d) Be liable for the authorized or permitted use of your Card and PIN.

Authorized Use of Card. If you authorize someone else to use your Card or PIN, you will be responsible for any transactions initiated by such person(s) with your Card or PIN. Transactions will be considered unauthorized only after you notify us that the person is no longer authorized to use your Card.

Negative Balances. The amount available on your Card will be reduced by the amount of your transactions plus applicable fees. There is no overdraft/credit feature on your Account. However, if a negative balance does occur in your Account, you agree (a) that we may take the amount of the negative balance from subsequent additions to your Account or (b) to pay us on demand the amount of the negative balance

6 Bank Fees.

Fee Disclosure. Bank fees associated with your Card are listed in the Fee Disclosure. These fees are imposed by us and retained by us. When you use an ATM not owned by us, you may be charged a fee by the ATM operator or any network used (and you may be charged a fee for a balance inquiry even if you do not complete a fund transfer).

Payment of Fees by You. You agree to pay all fees listed in the Fee Disclosure. Fees will be taken from the balance of your Account as they occur. The EDD may not charge you any fees in connection with your Card or your Account.

Foreign Transactions/Fees. If you use your Card to purchase goods or services in a foreign currency or in US dollars with a foreign merchant or to obtain currency from an ATM or an office of a financial institution in a foreign country (a "Foreign Transaction"), we will assess an International Transaction Fee. Please note that Foreign Transactions include U.S. internet transactions made in the U.S. but with a foreign merchant. If the Foreign Transaction is made in U.S. dollars, the International Transaction Fee will be the percentage of

that U.S. dollar ansaction as disclosed in the reembed sure of the feet by the

7 Right to Receive Documentation of Transactions

- **a. Terminal Receipts.** You usually can get a receipt at the time you make any transaction with your Card at an ATM, teller or POS; except that you may not get a receipt if the amount of the transaction is \$15 or less. ATM receipts are not final because each transaction is subject to verification by us. If the receipt and our records conflict, our records will govern.
- **b. Preauthorized Credits.** You can call us at 1.866.692.9374, 1.866.656.5913 TTY, or 423.262.1650 (Collect, when calling outside the U.S.), to find out whether or not a direct deposit has been made.
- **c. Delivery of Statements and Notices.** If you request to receive your monthly Account statement by mail, we will deliver it to the last address we have on our records for you. [See the Fee Disclosure for the fee for receiving monthly Account statements by mail.] You agree to notify us promptly, in writing, at the address listed in Section 11 below, of any change of address or you may change your address online at www.bankofamerica.com/eddcard. If you receive your monthly Account statement by mail, you may request that rather than receiving it by mail, you may review it electronically. If you wish to do so, you may make this request online at www.bankofamerica.com/eddcard or you may contact the Service Center at the address or phone number below.

CARDHOLDERS WHO DO NOT RECEIVE MONTHLY ACCOUNT STATEMENTS BY MAIL

Access to Your Account Information. You may obtain information about the amount of money you have remaining in your Account by calling 1.866.692.9374, 1.866.656.5913 TTY, or 423.262.1650 (Collect, when calling outside the U.S.). That information is also available when you make a balance inquiry at an ATM. This information, along with a 12-month history of Account transactions, is also available online at www.bankofamerica.com/eddcard.

You also have the right to obtain a 24-month written history of Account transactions by calling 1.866.692.9374., 1.866.656.5913 TTY, or 423.262.1650 (Collect, when calling outside the U.S.), or writing to us at: Bank of America, P.O. Box 8488, Gray, TN 37615-8488. You will not be charged a fee for this information unless you request it more than once per month.

Prompt Review of Account Information. You agree to promptly review your Account information and to notify the Service Center at the address or phone number above at once if any Account information shows transactions that you did not make or authorize. Section 11 below has more specific information about disputing transactions, fees, or errors.

Case 3:21-cv-00376 Document 1 Filed 01/14/21 Page 53 of 67

CARDHOLDERS WHO RECEIVE MONTHLY ACCOUNT STATEMENTS BY MAIL Monthly Card Account Statements. Upon your request, we will provide you with an Account statement monthly for every month in which your Account is open. The statement will include information about the transactions you made, deposits, fees and adjustments to your Account. A fee may apply to receive a monthly paper statement. For more information see the Fee Disclosure.

Prompt Review of Statements. You agree to promptly review your monthly Account statements and to notify the Service Center at the address or phone number above at once if any statement shows transactions that you did not make or authorize. Section 11 below has more specific information about disputing transactions, fees, or errors shown on your monthly Account statement.

8 Claims by Third Parties Against Your Account.

Claims or Disputes by Third Parties Concerning Your Account. If a third party makes a claim against funds in your Account, or if we have reason to believe there is or may be a dispute over matters such as the ownership of your Account or the authority to withdraw funds, we may, in our sole discretion and in accordance with applicable state or federal law (a) continue to rely on current enrollment forms or other Account documents, (b) honor the competing claim upon receipt of evidence we deem satisfactory to justify such action, (c) freeze all or part of the funds until the dispute is resolved to our satisfaction, or (d) pay the funds into an appropriate court of law for resolution.

Liens and Attachments. Following receipt by us of any notice of lien, process in attachment, garnishment or other proceeding relating to you or your Account, we are authorized, without notice to you, unless otherwise required by law, to withhold transfer of so much of the balance of your Account as may be the subject of such notice or process, and to pay such amount to the court or creditor, in accordance with applicable state or federal law without responsibility to you for such withholding or payment or for refusal to honor transfers made by you.

9 **Bank of America's "Zero Liability" Policy for Unauthorized Transactions.** Federal law (described in the section below entitled "Regulation E Liability Disclosure; Your Liability in Case of Loss, Theft, or Unauthorized Transactions") may limit your liability for unauthorized transactions on your Account, but you may still be liable in some circumstances. Under the Bank of America "zero liability" policy, you may incur no liability for unauthorized use of your Card up to the amount of the unauthorized transaction, provided you notify us within a reasonable time of the loss or theft of your Card, Card number or PIN or its unauthorized use, subject to the following terms and conditions:

Excluded transactions. Our zero liability policy does not apply to any unauthorized electronic fund transfer on an account which does not involve use of a Card or Card number.

"Unauthorized" defined. A transaction is considered "unauthorized" if it is initiated by someone other than you (the cardholder) without your actual or apparent authority, and you receive no benefit from the transaction. A transaction is not considered "unauthorized" if 1) you furnish your Card, Card number or other identifying information to another person and expressly or implicitly give that individual authority to perform one or more transactions, and the person then exceeds that authority, or 2) for any other reason we conclude that the facts and circumstances do not reasonably support a claim of unauthorized use.

"Reasonable" time defined. Reasonable time will be determined in our sole discretion based on the

circumstances but will in the time of the state of the st

Other considerations. We may ask you for a written statement, affidavit or other information necessary to support your claim. If you do not provide the requested materials within the time requested or within a reasonable time if no date is stated, and we have no knowledge of the facts or other documentation to further investigate or confirm your claim, our zero liability policy may not apply.

Limitation of our Liability. Our liability under this policy is limited to reimbursing you for the amount of your loss up to the face amount of any unauthorized card transaction covered by this policy. We are not liable for any claims, losses or damages that arise out of your misuse of the Card. We are not liable for any claims of special, indirect or consequential damages.

Your Rights under Regulation E. If your claim does not meet the prescribed conditions for reimbursement under the above policy, you still retain any consumer rights you may have under Regulation E, as described in Sections 10 and 11 below, and we will automatically re-examine the claim in accordance with those rights.

10 Regulation E Liability Disclosure; Your Liability in Case of Loss, Theft, or Unauthorized Transactions. Contact Us Promptly. Please contact us at the numbers listed below AT ONCE if you believe your Card has been lost or stolen, or if you believe that someone may use or has used your PIN assigned to your Card without your permission. Telephoning is the best way of keeping your possible losses down. You could lose all the money in your Account. If you tell us within two business days after you learn of the loss or theft, you can lose no more than \$50 for an unauthorized transaction or a series of related unauthorized transfers should someone use your Card or PIN.

If you do NOT tell us within two business days after you learn of the loss or theft of your Card or PIN and we can prove we could have stopped someone from using your Card or PIN without your permission if you had told us, you could lose as much as \$500.

Also, if your monthly Account statement or your Account history shows transfers that you did not make, including those made by your Card, Card number, PIN or other means, tell us at once. If you receive a monthly Account statement and you do not tell us within 60 days after the statement was mailed to you, you may not get back any money you lost after the 60 days if we can prove that we could have stopped someone from taking the money if you had contacted us on time. If a good reason (such as a long trip or a hospital stay) kept you from telling us, we will extend the time periods.

If you do not receive a monthly Account statement and do not tell us within 60 days after the earlier of the date you electronically access your Account if the error could be viewed in your electronic history or the date we sent the FIRST written history on which the error appeared (but in any event within 120 days after the transaction allegedly in error was credited or debited to your Account), you may not get back any money you lost after the applicable 60 or 120 day period if we can prove that we could have stopped someone from taking the money if you had contacted us on time. If a good reason (such as a long trip or hospital stay) keeps you from notifying us, we will extend the time periods.

If you believe your Card has been lost or stolen, call us at 1.866.692.9374, 1.866.656.5913 TTY, or 423.262.1650 (Collect, when calling outside the U.S.) or write to: Bank of America, P.O. Box 8488, Gray, TN 37615-8488.

NOTE: These liability rules are established by Regulation E which covers accounts that involve the use of a Card. Our zero liability policy, as described in Section 9 above, regarding unauthorized transactions may give you more protection, provided you report the transactions promptly. You should also note that when you give someone your Card or PIN, you are authorizing that person to use your Card and you are responsible for all transactions that person performs with your Card or PIN. These transactions are authorized transactions. Transactions are considered unauthorized only after you notify us that the person is no longer authorized. Remember, do not write your PIN on your Card or carry your PIN with you. This reduces the possibility of someone using your Card without your permission if it is lost or stolen.

11 Error Resolution.

In Case of Errors or Questions About Your Transactions:

Telephone us at: 1.866.692.9374

1.866.656.5913 TTY

423.262.1650 (Collect, when calling outside the U.S.)

Or write to:

Bank of America

P.O. Box 8488

Gray, TN 37615-8488

CARDHOLDERS WHO RECEIVE MONTHLY ACCOUNT STATEMENTS BY MAIL

Call or write as soon as you can if you think your monthly Account statement or receipt is wrong or if you need more information about a transaction listed on the statement or receipt. We must hear from you no later than 60 days after we sent the FIRST statement on which the problem or error appeared. You will need to tell us:

- (a) Your name and Card Account number.
- (b) Why you believe there is an error, and the dollar amount involved.
- (c) Approximately when the error took place.

If you tell us orally, we may require that you send your complaint or question in writing within 10 business days.

CARDHOLDERS WHO DO NOT RECEIVE MONTHLY ACCOUNT STATEMENTS BY MAIL

Call or write as soon as you can if you think an error has occurred in your Account. We must allow you to report an error until 60 days after the earlier of the date you electronically access your Account, if the error could be viewed in your electronic history, or the date we sent the FIRST written history on which the error appeared; but in any event you must report the error no more than 120 days after the transaction allegedly in error was credited or debited to your Account. You may request a written history of your transactions at any time by calling or writing to us at the numbers and address listed above. You will need to tell us:

- (1) Your name and Card Account number.
- (2) Why you believe there is an error, and the dollar amount involved.
- (3) Approximately when the error took place.

WHEN YOU WILL HEAR FROM US

We will determine whether an error occurred within 10 business days after we hear from you—and will correct any error promptly. If we need more time, however, we may take up to 45 days to investigate your complaint or question. If we decide to do this, we will credit your Account within 10 business days for the amount you think is in error, so that you will have the money during the time it takes us to complete our investigation. If we ask you to put your complaint or question in writing and we do not receive it within 10 business days, we may not credit your Account.

For errors involving new accounts, POS, or foreign-initiated transactions, we may take up to 90 days to investigate your complaint or question. For new accounts, we may take up to 20 business days to credit your Account for the amount you think is in error.

We will tell you the results within three business days after completing our investigation. If we decide that there was no error, we will send you a written explanation. You may ask for copies of the documents that we used in our investigation. If you need more information about our error-resolution procedures, call or write to us using the contact information listed above.

12 Our liability for failure to complete transactions.

If we do not complete a transfer to or from your Account on time or in the correct amount according to this Agreement, we will be liable for your losses or damages. However, there are some exceptions. We will not be liable, for instance:

- If, through no fault of ours, you do not have enough available money in your Account to make the transaction;
- If the ATM where you are making the transaction does not have enough cash;
- If the ATM, terminal or system was not working properly and you knew about the breakdown when you started the transaction;
- If circumstances beyond our control (such as power outages, equipment failures, fire or flood) prevent the transaction, despite reasonable precautions that we have taken;
- If your Card or PIN has been reported to be, or suspected of being, lost or stolen, and we have taken action to prevent transactions with the Card or PIN;
- If your Account is subject to some legal process, right of setoff or encumbrance restricting the transaction, or if the funds in your Account are not immediately available for completing a transaction.

13 Preauthorized Transactions.

Right to Stop Payment and Procedure for Doing So. If you have told us in advance to make regular payments out of your Account, you can stop any of these payments. Here's how:

Call us at 1.866.692.9374, 1.866.656.5913 TTY, or 423.262.1650 (Collect, when calling outside the U.S.), or write us at: Bank of America, P.O. Box 8488, Gray, TN 37615-8488, in time for us to receive your request three business days or more before the payment is scheduled to be made. If you call, we may also require you to put your request in writing and get it to us within 14 days after you call.

Notice of Varying Amounts! 100% of regular payments will be made and how much it will be.

Our Liability for Failure to Stop Payment of Preauthorized Transfers. If you order us to stop one of these payments three business days or more before the transfer is scheduled, and we do not do so, we will be liable for your losses or damages.

14 Privacy

As part of establishing your Account, you will receive with your Card a copy of the Prepaid Card Privacy Notice which generally addresses our policy for handling and disclosing information for your Card. You may view our Prepaid Card Privacy Notice at www.bankofamerica.com/prepaidprivacy. With respect to any information we collect from you as a result of your Card, we will only share such information related to your Account, from time to time, subject to any applicable financial privacy laws or other laws or regulations, (a) where it is necessary for completing transactions; (b) in response to any subpoena, summons, court or administrative order, or other legal process which we believe requires our compliance; (c) in connection with collection of indebtedness or to report losses incurred by us; (d) in compliance with any agreement between us and a professional, regulatory or disciplinary body; (e) in connection with potential sales of businesses; (f) to service providers who help us meet your needs by assisting us in providing the services under this Agreement; or (g) If you give us your written permission.

15 Recording and Monitoring Telephone Calls.

We may record or monitor telephone calls between you and us. We need not remind you of our recording or monitoring before each call unless required to do so by law.

16 Amendment/Termination.

Amendments. We may, at any time, change the terms and conditions in this Agreement, including the amount of any fee. We may add new terms and conditions and we may delete or amend existing terms and conditions. We generally send you at least 21 days' advance notice of an adverse change, including increased fees to you, increased liability for you, fewer types of available electronic fund transfers, or stricter limitations on the frequency or dollar amount of transfers. If a change is not adverse to you, however, we may make the change at any time without advance notice. If you do not agree with the change, you may close your Account. However, if you continue to use your Account or keep it open, you accept and agree to the change.

Our Closure or Suspension of Your Account. We may close or suspend your Account at any time. Your Card remains our property. We may cancel your right to use your Card at any time. Once your Account has been closed, you agree to discontinue using your Card. If we close your Account, we may, at our option, apply the remaining balance to a new account for your benefit. If you have not spent the remaining balance prior to Account closure, you may contact the Service Center to request a check for the remaining balance, less the Paper Check Fee.

Your Closure of Your Account. If, at the time you close your Account, all transactions have cleared and there is no remaining balance, your Account will be closed to further use. If there is a remaining balance, you may use your Card to reduce the balance to zero before closing your Account. Alternatively, you can contact the Service Center to request a check for the remaining balance of your Account, less the Paper Check Fee, or request an Emergency Cash Transfer. You understand that you are responsible for negative balances that occur after your notice of closure to the same extent as provided in this Agreement for an open Account. You agree to destroy your Card after your Account is closed.

17 Unclaimed Property.3:21-cv-00376 Document 1 Filed 01/14/21 Page 58 of 67

Any remaining unclaimed balance will be reported and remitted as unclaimed property to the appropriate state as required by state law after a period of time defined by that state's law. After we turn the funds over to the state, we have no further liability to you for the funds and you must apply to the appropriate state agency to reclaim your funds.

18 Governing Law/Severability.

This Agreement will be governed by the laws and regulations of the United States and, to the extent not so covered, by the laws and regulations of the State of California. A determination that any part of this Agreement is invalid or unenforceable will not affect the remainder of this Agreement.

19 English Document Controlling.

As a service that we may provide to you at your request, we may communicate certain information to you in Spanish. Any legal clarifications which may need to be made will be based on the use and application of the English versions, including but not limited to this Agreement and the Fee Disclosure.

20 Fee Disclosure and Other Important Disclosures.

A copy of our Fee Disclosure and Other Important Disclosures is included with, and incorporated in, this Agreement.

EXHIBIT C

STATE CAPITOL P.O. BOX 942849 SACRAMENTO, CA 94249-0115



November 24, 2020

Mr. Brian Moynihan Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer Bank of America Corporation 100 North Tryon Street Charlotte, NC 28255-0001

Dear Mr. Moynihan:

Every legislative office in our state has experienced an unprecedented number of constituents contacting them requesting assistance to resolve issues with the California Employment Development Department (EDD), as well as with their Bank of America debit cards. We commend our California EDD and the Bank of America employees for their hard work over the last eight months, creating better systems to get funds to constituents as quickly as possible.

Today, we write with a new and significant concern about frozen Bank of America debit cards.

Most beneficiaries receive their weekly Unemployment Insurance (UI) or Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) funds on a debit card issued and managed by Bank of America under contract with CA EDD. Over the last six weeks, reportedly due to significant fraud, hundreds of thousands of UI, PUA and State Disability Insurance (SDI) recipients have had funds taken from their cards or their cards frozen, leaving them unable to access their benefits.

EDD reports to legislative staff that Bank of America has a proprietary formula to detect fraud and has taken it upon themselves to freeze cards and take money from recipients. The only recourse that EDD and our offices can currently provide constituents is to call Bank of America when these problems occur. However, constituents report they are unable to get through to your call centers, or when they do, the issue is not resolved. Many of our own staff have also tried to reach Bank of America to no avail. It is simply unacceptable that Californians entitled to benefits are suddenly not able to obtain them due to a Bank of America determination that is impossible to appeal.

The press reports at least 350,000 Californians are currently unable to access UI, PUA and SDI benefits owed to them. That said, EDD is unsure of the exact number, as they state they only receive data from Bank of America after cards are frozen. EDD also informs us that less than 8% of debit card cases have been resolved.

Mr. Brian Moynihan November 24, 2020 Page 2

With this in mind, we are writing to ask that you provide us specific answers to the following questions:

- Why is Bank of America taking funds and freezing cards? What criteria is Bank of America using?
- When CA EDD clears fraud or verifies identity on their end, why is Bank of America not immediately unfreezing the cards that CA EDD asks Bank of America to unfreeze?
- Our offices have many cases in which Bank of America states that EDD froze the card. Our staff call EDD, who claims the problem is entirely on Bank of America's end. What is the solution to this problem?
- What needs to happen for a constituent to have their card reactivated and funds restored?
- Will you significantly increase staff tasked with reactivating cards and restoring funds to resolve these issues by December 1, 2020?
- Has Bank of America had to pay any penalties due to fraud or failure to respond to alleged fraud within a certain timeframe related to California UI or PUA claims? If so, to whom and for what?
- Can Bank of America proactively contact consumers when their card is deactivated, or funds will be taken so they are not forced to discover this when they use the card?
- What can Bank of America do to stop the automatic freezing of multiple cards at one address without first checking with EDD to see if they may be legitimate?
- Who pays for fraudulent charges when it is not the consumer's fault? Bank of America or another entity?
- Are there time limits under federal law by when Bank of America must unfreeze a debit card in a suspected fraud case? If so, what are they? Are there exceptions?
- Will you please provide an explanation to Governor Gavin Newsom and the CA Legislature as to how to unfreeze valid cards in a timely manner and prevent this problem from happening again by December 1, 2020?

We appreciate in advance your timely attention to addressing these problems and responding to this letter.

Sincerely,

cc: Governor Gavin Newsom

Philip Y. Ting Assemblymember, 19th District Anthony Rendon Speaker of the Assembly, 63rd District Mr. Brian Moynihan November 24, 2020

Page 3

Brian Dable

Brian Dahle Senator, 1st District Mike McGuire Senator, 2nd District

ur Nielsen

Robert A. Wieckouskin

Bill Dodd Senator, 3rd District Jim Nielsen Senator, 4th District

Anchors Sorger

Andreas Borgeas Senator, 8th District Bob Wieckowski Senator, 10th District

Scott Wiener

Scott D. Wiener Senator, 11th District Anna M. Caballero Senator, 12th District

Jerry Hill Senator, 13th District Hannah-Beth Jackson Senator, 19th District

Hand- Seth Jacken

Mr. Brian Moynihan November 24, 2020 Page 4

Scott Wilk Senator, 21st District

Scott Wish

Mike Morrell Senator, 23rd District

Miche morrell

Maris Elens by

Ulmbe

Maria Elena Durazo Senator, 24th District Henry I. Stern Senator, 27th District

Thomas J. Umberg Senator, 34th District

Patricia C. Bates Senator, 36th District

rrugan Eurice

Megan Dahle Assemblymember, 1st District Jim Wood Assemblymember, 2nd District

James Gallagher Assemblymember, 3rd District Cecilia M. Aguiar-Curry Assemblymember, 4th District

Cecura In Aguar lung

Mr. Brian Moynihan November 24, 2020 Page 5

Man Lewie

Marc Levine Assemblymember, 10th District Susan Talamantes Eggman Assemblymember, 13th District

Timothy S. Grayson Assemblymember, 14th District

Tunty D. Drayson

Buffy Wicks Assemblymember, 15th District

Rebecca Bauer-Kahan Assemblymember, 16th District David Chiu Assemblymember, 17th District

David Chice

Bill Quirk Assemblymember, 20th District

Bill Quisk

Kevin Mullin Assemblymember, 22nd District

Marc Berman Assemblymember, 24th District Kansen Chu Assemblymember, 25th District Mr. Brian Moynihan November 24, 2020

Page 6

Devon J. Mathis

Assemblymember, 26th District

Ash Kalra

Assemblymember, 27th District

Mark Stone

Assemblymember, 29th District

Robert Rivas

Assemblymember, 30th District

Joaquin Arambula

Assemblymember, 31st District

Rudy Salas, Jr.

Assemblymember, 32nd District

Vince Fong

Assemblymember, 34th District

Tom Lackey

Assemblymember, 36th District

Monique Limón

Assemblymember, 37th District

Luz M. Rivas Assemblymember, 39th District Mr. Brian Moynihan November 24, 2020 Page 7

Chin 14000

Chris R. Holden Assemblymember, 41st District

Jan Jane

Laura Friedman Assemblymember, 43rd District

Jesse Arbriel

Jesse Gabriel Assemblymember, 45th District



Ed Chau Assemblymember, 49th District

WACH


Wendy Carrillo Assemblymember, 51st District



Eduardo Garcia Assemblymember, 56th District

Jan Caldison

Ian C. Calderon Assemblymember, 57th District



4.

Jose Medina Assemblymember, 61st District

Mat & Japan

Mike A. Gipson Assemblymember, 64th District

Case 3:21-cv-00376 Document 1 Filed 01/14/21 Page 67 of 67

Mr. Brian Moynihan November 24, 2020 Page 8

Sharon Quirk-Silva

Sharon Quirk-Silva Assemblymember, 65th District Steven S. Choi Assemblymember, 68th District

C Retrie Hours

Stew 8. Own

Randy Voepel

Assemblymember, 71st District

Cottie Petrie-Norris Assemblymember, 74th District

Grian Maienschein

Brian Maienschein Assemblymember, 77th District

Todd Gloria

Assemblymember, 78th District

Ag 4/3)-

Shirley N. Weber

Assemblymember, 79th District